

Att'y Docket: 1788.01

REMARKS**Claim Rejection**

Claims 20 and 21 were rejected as including limitations having insufficient basis.

In response claim 14, to which claim 20 and 21 refer to, was amended to include the limitation, "wherein each of the first and second openings has a lowermost point." The added limitation is supported by line 23, 24, page 10 of the original specification.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 9-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spencer (US 2003/0019007). Claim 6, 8, 12 and 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spencer.

In response, claims 1 and 4-13 were cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

Per the instructions of the Examiner, claims 2 and 3 were amended to include all of the limitations of the base claim.

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

Att'y Do cket: 1788.01

CONCLUSION

The applicant believes that the rejections and the objection were obviated by the amendment of the claims, and the application is now in condition for allowance: therefore, reexamination, reconsideration and allowance of the claims are respectively requested. If there are any additional comments or requirements from the examination, the applicant asks for a non-final office action.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional fees associated with this communication, or credit any over-payment to Deposit Account No. 16-0310.

Very truly yours,

Park Law Firm

Dated: 9/1, 2006By: 

John K. Park

Regis. No. 37,904

3255 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1110
Los Angeles, California 90010
Tel: (213) 389-3777